**Bangkok Global Law**

540, Unit 1705, 17th Floor, Mercury Tower,Ploenchit Road,
Lumpini, Pathumwan, Bangkok 10330 Thailand
Tel: +(66) 2 2525895-6 Fax: +(66) 2 2525897
Website: www.bgloballaw.com
E-mail: info@bgloballaw.com

*Legal Insight Vol. August 2026*

# US-Thailand Trade Talks Target a More Strategic Economic Partnership

Thailand and the United States are seeking to deepen their economic relationship through expanded investment, more balanced trade and stronger supply-chain cooperation. At the Thai-US Trade and Investment Summit 2026, Commerce Minister Suphajee Suthumpun called for stronger trade and investment ties and presented Thailand as a potential secure manufacturing and supply-chain base amid geopolitical uncertainty. The discussions also point toward continued negotiations on a US-Thailand Agreement on Reciprocal Trade (ART), with Thailand seeking a balanced outcome that creates benefits for both countries.

The policy direction reflects Thailand's effort to reposition itself within changing global trade patterns rather than relying primarily on traditional export competitiveness. The government is promoting investment in digital technology, artificial intelligence, robotics, automation, semiconductors, clean energy, biotechnology and next-generation vehicles, while emphasising a transparent and predictable regulatory environment. With Thai companies having invested more than US$19 billion in the United States, the relationship is also increasingly being framed as a two-way investment partnership rather than simply a market-access discussion.

Trade imbalances remain a central issue. US officials have identified Thailand as a key supplier of products including seafood, rubber, automotive parts and electronics, while Thailand has indicated that it could expand imports from the United States in areas such as energy, advanced machinery and equipment, agricultural products, farming technology, seafood-processing materials, wine and spirits. The proposed ART therefore appears intended to address reciprocal market access and create a framework for negotiating trade barriers, while also responding to US concerns over the bilateral trade balance.

For Thailand, however, negotiations will require careful management of domestic interests. Increased imports may support industrial upgrading and supply-chain resilience, but agricultural negotiations in particular could expose Thai producers to greater competition. Industry representatives have therefore called for agricultural trade discussions to be separated from broader negotiations to ensure fair treatment for farmers. The talks also take place against concerns over transshipment, meaning that rules of origin, customs compliance and verification of the genuine Thai origin of exports are likely to remain important elements of the wider trade relationship.

From a legal and business perspective, progress toward an ART could have implications well beyond tariff reductions. A negotiated framework may affect customs procedures, market-access conditions, rules of origin, regulatory standards and investment decisions across sectors linked to US-Thailand trade. Exporters and manufacturers should therefore monitor the negotiations not only for changes in tariff treatment, but also for compliance requirements that may influence sourcing and supply-chain structures. If Thailand can combine greater market access with predictable regulation and protection of sensitive domestic sectors, the negotiations could strengthen its position as a strategic production and investment base in an increasingly fragmented global trading environment.

Should you have any question, please do not hesitate to contact us via info@bgloballaw.com